




Wouldn't it be interesting if there was overlap between the Wikileaks insurance file and information retrieved from the Osama Bin Laden collections?

Especially, say, the massive "porn" collection found in Osama Bin Laden's possession that USIC refused to comment on?










Just a refresher: it is agains the law to possess any form of child pornography in the US, even if it's encrypted. If you're found in possession of data that when decrypted, reveals child porn, even if you don't have the password yourself - you're screwed.

And if you're in possession of child porn that is being used to blackmail politicians and public figures that matches up with the content found in Osama Bin Laden's porn stash, you're going to be in even bigger trouble - 'cause he's def. a terrorist.



And if you use any passwords Wikileaks releases to decrypt the "insurance file," and it contains child pornography as material being used to blackmail government entities into submission, you deserve to get whatever punishment the boys on your cell block deem suitable.


So, some of the insurance files:



And something interesting of note:

Vault 7 leaker Joshua Schulte, found with 54GB of child pornography in an encrypted container on his computer... with the password found on his phone?

Maybe that password was in an encrypted text message from Assange?





Didn't realize this before, but.... maybe Schulte wasn't distributing child porn to customers in the traditional sense after all.... what if the porn was in one of the wikileaks insurance files, and Assange gave him the password to decrypt it?

That would put a lot of his recent court activity trying to get some of the data out there in a MUCH different light.



So... everyone in possession of the Wikileaks "insurance" files should consider just what might be in those archives that you could personally be held accountable for .

Just sayin'.

• • •