

- 1. I emerged from my long-delayed university studies as a cold warrior at the end of the Cold War. China, my area of study, was no longer the adversary we had to prepare to engaged in open warfare with and Mao's silver coated bullets of capitalism were turned on us as easy
- 2. marks seeking access to the Middle Kingdom's (中国) vast markets. In law school I took course taught by a PRC govt ministry official on the new Chinese joint venture law. Though still in my early stage of legal scholarship, I could spot a bad deal. The law was simply a
- 3. Chinese development program with the capital provided by the new targets of Mao's silver coated bullets, the global capitalists. IMO the CCP believes that, after over three centuries of western exploitation, China is entitled to at least of hundred years of extractive
- 4. exploitation and what we considered stealing of intellectual property they consider a birth right.

The US is the leader in computers and software and without our stuff and access to our markets China's miracle will stall and begin to slide backwards. So what to do?

- 5. The US needs a rational intellectual property regime with China. I'm guessing that when the moron-in-chief <u>@POTUS</u> finally wakes up to the fact that he's entering an election cycle after starting a recession he will panic and beg to recreate <u>@BarackObama</u>'s TTP regime he
- 6. foolishly trashed. By then it may be too late to save <u>@Trump</u> and the <u>@SenateGOP</u>. But it might save China and the global markets that are the key to future American and global prosperity.

• • •